

1 Kate Mueting, DC Bar No. 988177\*  
2 Dacey Romberg, DC Bar No. 90003767\*  
3 Samone Ijoma, MD Bar No. 2012170086\*  
4 **SANFORD HEISLER SHARP, LLP**  
5 700 Pennsylvania Avenue SE, Suite 300  
6 Washington, DC 20003  
7 Telephone: (202) 499-5206  
8 kmueting@sanfordheisler.com  
9 dromberg@sanfordheisler.com  
10 sijoma@sanfordheisler.com

11 Charles Field, SBN 189817  
12 **SANFORD HEISLER SHARP, LLP**  
13 7911 Herschel Avenue, Suite 300  
14 La Jolla, CA 92037  
15 Telephone: (619) 577-4252  
16 cfield@sanfordheisler.com

17 Kristi Stahnke McGregor, GA Bar No. 674012\*  
18 **SANFORD HEISLER SHARP, LLP**  
19 611 Commerce Street, Suite 3100  
20 Nashville, TN 37203  
21 Telephone: (615) 434-7008  
22 kmcgregor@sanfordheisler.com

23 Christopher Owens, MD Bar No. 220280004\*  
24 **SANFORD HEISLER SHARP, LLP**  
25 111 S. Calvert Street, Suite 1950  
26 Baltimore, Maryland 21202  
27 Telephone: (410) 834-7422  
28 cowens@sanfordheisler.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
and the Potential Class*

\* admitted *pro hac vice*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **DISTRICT OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA**  
10 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

11 COURTNEY MCMILLIAN and RONALD  
12 COOPER, on behalf of themselves and all others  
similarly situated,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.  
15

16 X CORP., f/k/a/ TWITTER, INC.,  
X HOLDINGS, ELON MUSK, DOES,

17 Defendants.  
18

Case No. 3:23-cv-03461-TLT-RMI

**PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO  
DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING**

Judge: Trina L. Thompson  
Magistrate Judge: Robert M. Illman

19  
20 Defendants' Administrative Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Briefing in Support of  
21 their Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint and in response to Plaintiffs' Answers to the  
22 Court's Notice of Questions should be denied.

23 On April 3, 2024, the Court ordered the Parties to respond to eight discrete, factual  
24 questions regarding Twitter's ERISA plan, including the effective dates, the plan documents, the  
25 fiduciaries, the locations of plan administration, and employer contributions. Dkt. 65. The Court  
26 instructed that if a party produced a document in response to the Court's questions, that the party  
27 attach the document as an exhibit to a Declaration, and specifically requested that the Parties  
28

Case No. 3:23-cv-03461-TLT-RMI

**PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION**

1 “attach to a Declaration of Stipulation all documents that make up the alleged ERISA plan” and  
2 asked whether the Severance Matrix is one of the plan documents. *Id.* at 1, 2.

3 Defendants submitted answers to the factual questions raised by the Court. Dkt. 73.  
4 Plaintiffs also submitted answers to the Court’s factual questions, explaining in part that Twitter  
5 had memorialized its severance policy in the Severance Matrix and had provided a summary of  
6 the Severance Matrix to employees via FAQs that the company updated throughout 2022. Dkt. 75  
7 at 3. Per the Court’s request, Plaintiffs submitted the FAQ documents and attached them to a  
8 Declaration. Dkt. 75-1, Ex. A.

9 Contrary to Defendants’ assertion, the information in Plaintiffs’ Answers is not new: it is  
10 in Plaintiffs’ Amended Complaint. *See* Am. Compl., ¶¶ 27-28, 37, 44-51 (plan documents); 7 (San  
11 Francisco headquarters); 11, 57, 91, 108 (DOE Defendants); 30-34, 36, 43, 112-14 (payments to  
12 and from Plan). Plaintiffs specifically named, described, and excerpted the Acquisition FAQs in  
13 their Amended Complaint and Response to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss.<sup>1</sup> Dkt. 13, Dkt. 45.  
14 Defendants have twice responded to Plaintiffs’ arguments regarding the Acquisition FAQs: in their  
15 Reply to Plaintiffs’ Response to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss and in their answers to the Court’s  
16 questions. Dkt. 73, Dkt. 50. Because the information Plaintiffs provided in response to the Court’s  
17 questions has already been provided to, and twice addressed by Defendants, supplemental briefing  
18 is not warranted. *See Afifeh v. Ahmadabadi*, 2022 WL 3016147, at \*1 (C.D. Cal. July 5, 2022)  
19 (providing more detail to an argument that has been already raised is not a “new” argument).

20 In addition, Defendants failed to meet and confer with Plaintiffs regarding their request to  
21 file a response to Plaintiffs’ answers to the Court’s questions.<sup>2</sup> Should the Court grant Defendants’  
22  
23

---

24 <sup>1</sup> Furthermore, Defendants have possessed the Acquisition FAQs document throughout this  
25 litigation. Given Plaintiffs’ extensive citation to this document in their Amended Complaint,  
26 further discussion of its contents before the Court was to be expected and is not prejudicial.

27 <sup>2</sup> Defendants’ failure to request a stipulation violates Civil Local Rule 7-11(a) and provides an  
28 independent basis for this Court to strike Defendants’ Administrative Motion. *See Meta Platforms, Inc. v. Voyager Labs LTD.*, 2023 WL 4828007, at \*3 n. 2 (stating that filings which fail to comply with the Northern District of California’s local rules may be struck).

1 request, Plaintiffs respectfully request the opportunity to respond to Defendants' Answers and  
2 Defendants' additional briefing.<sup>3</sup>

3  
4 DATED: April 23, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

5 Sanford Heisler Sharp, LLP

6 By: /s/ Kate Muetting

7 Kate Muetting, DC Bar No. 988177

**SANFORD HEISLER SHARP, LLP**

8 700 Pennsylvania Ave SE, Suite 300

Washington, DC 20003

9 Telephone: (202) 499-5206

10 kmuetting@sanfordheisler.com

11 *Attorney for Plaintiffs*

12 *and the Potential Class*

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 <sup>3</sup> Plaintiffs also respectfully submit that the numerous requests for supplemental filings with  
28 respect to factual issues highlights the genuine disputes underlying Plaintiffs' claim and the  
inappropriateness of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 23, 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send a copy of this filing to all counsel of record.

Mark A. Feller  
Melissa D. Hill  
Jared R. Killeen  
Sara DeStefano  
Sean K. McMahan  
**MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP**  
mark.feller@morganlewis.com  
melissa.hill@morganlewis.com  
jared.killeen@morganlewis.com  
sara.destefano@morganlewis.com  
sean.mcmahan@morganlewis.com

*Attorneys for Defendants X Corp.,  
X Holdings, and Elon Musk*

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED: April 23, 2024

/s/ Kate Mueting  
Kate Mueting, DC Bar No. 988177  
**SANFORD HEISLER SHARP, LLP**  
700 Pennsylvania Ave SE, Suite 300  
Washington, DC 20003  
Telephone: (202) 499-5206  
kmueting@sanfordheisler.com

*Attorney for Plaintiffs  
and the Potential Class*